Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/550,002	IKEMIZU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID CORMIER	1711
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>DAVID CORMIER</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>NAPHTALI MATLIS (Reg. No. 61,592)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 August 2010</u>	Time: <u>2 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: Claim 12		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/D, C /		
/D. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 1711 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed an amendment to cancel Claim 12. Applicant argued that method Claim 12 should be allowed with the apparatus claims. In a subsequent phonecall, the Examiner propsed an amendment to Claim 12 to put the claim in condition for allowance. The proposed amendment was to add the steps of "determining whether metal ions are supplied to a laundry tub prior to a spin drying rotation" and "detecting an unbalance during spin drying rotation." In a telephone call on August 12, 2010, Applicant indicated that more time is needed to determine whether the proposal is acceptable and that the Examiner should mail out an action.